

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a preprint version which may differ from the publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/84064>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2018-07-08 and may be subject to change.

Search for the rare decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

V.M. Abazov,³⁵ B. Abbott,⁷³ M. Abolins,⁶² B.S. Acharya,²⁹ M. Adams,⁴⁸ T. Adams,⁴⁶ G.D. Alexeev,³⁵ G. Alkhazov,³⁹ A. Alton^a,⁶¹ G. Alverson,⁶⁰ G.A. Alves,² L.S. Ancu,³⁴ M. Aoki,⁴⁷ Y. Arnoud,¹⁴ M. Arov,⁵⁷ A. Askew,⁴⁶ B. Åsman,⁴⁰ O. Atramentov,⁶⁵ C. Avila,⁸ J. BackusMayes,⁸⁰ F. Badaud,¹³ L. Bagby,⁴⁷ B. Baldin,⁴⁷ D.V. Bandurin,⁴⁶ S. Banerjee,²⁹ E. Barberis,⁶⁰ A.-F. Barfuss,¹⁵ P. Baringer,⁵⁵ J. Barreto,² J.F. Bartlett,⁴⁷ U. Bessler,¹⁸ S. Beale,⁶ A. Bean,⁵⁵ M. Begalli,³ M. Begel,⁷¹ C. Belanger-Champagne,⁴⁰ L. Bellantoni,⁴⁷ J.A. Benitez,⁶² S.B. Beri,²⁷ G. Bernardi,¹⁷ R. Bernhard,²² I. Bertram,⁴¹ M. Besançon,¹⁸ R. Beuselinck,⁴² V.A. Bezzubov,³⁸ P.C. Bhat,⁴⁷ V. Bhatnagar,²⁷ G. Blazey,⁴⁹ S. Blessing,⁴⁶ K. Bloom,⁶⁴ A. Boehnlein,⁴⁷ D. Boline,⁷⁰ T.A. Bolton,⁵⁶ E.E. Boos,³⁷ G. Borissov,⁴¹ T. Bose,⁵⁹ A. Brandt,⁷⁶ O. Brandt,²³ R. Brock,⁶² G. Brooijmans,⁶⁸ A. Bross,⁴⁷ D. Brown,¹⁹ X.B. Bu,⁷ D. Buchholz,⁵⁰ M. Buehler,⁷⁹ V. Buescher,²⁴ V. Bunichev,³⁷ S. Burdin^b,⁴¹ T.H. Burnett,⁸⁰ C.P. Buszello,⁴² P. Calfayan,²⁵ B. Calpas,¹⁵ S. Calvet,¹⁶ E. Camacho-Pérez,³² J. Cammin,⁶⁹ M.A. Carrasco-Lizarraga,³² E. Carrera,⁴⁶ B.C.K. Casey,⁴⁷ H. Castilla-Valdez,³² S. Chakrabarti,⁷⁰ D. Chakraborty,⁴⁹ K.M. Chan,⁵³ A. Chandra,⁷⁸ G. Chen,⁵⁵ S. Chevalier-Théry,¹⁸ D.K. Cho,⁷⁵ S.W. Cho,³¹ S. Choi,³¹ B. Choudhary,²⁸ T. Christoudias,⁴² S. Cihangir,⁴⁷ D. Claes,⁶⁴ J. Clutter,⁵⁵ M. Cooke,⁴⁷ W.E. Cooper,⁴⁷ M. Corcoran,⁷⁸ F. Couderc,¹⁸ M.-C. Cousinou,¹⁵ A. Croc,¹⁸ D. Cutts,⁷⁵ M. Ćwiok,³⁰ A. Das,⁴⁴ G. Davies,⁴² K. De,⁷⁶ S.J. de Jong,³⁴ E. De La Cruz-Burelo,³² F. Déliot,¹⁸ M. Demarteau,⁴⁷ R. Demina,⁶⁹ D. Denisov,⁴⁷ S.P. Denisov,³⁸ S. Desai,⁴⁷ K. DeVaughan,⁶⁴ H.T. Diehl,⁴⁷ M. Diesburg,⁴⁷ A. Dominguez,⁶⁴ T. Dorland,⁸⁰ A. Dubey,²⁸ L.V. Dudko,³⁷ D. Duggan,⁶⁵ A. Duperrin,¹⁵ S. Dutt,²⁷ A. Dyshkant,⁴⁹ M. Eads,⁶⁴ D. Edmunds,⁶² J. Ellison,⁴⁵ V.D. Elvira,⁴⁷ Y. Enari,¹⁷ S. Eno,⁵⁸ H. Evans,⁵¹ A. Evdokimov,⁷¹ V.N. Evdokimov,³⁸ G. Facini,⁶⁰ A.V. Ferapontov,⁷⁵ T. Ferbel,^{58,69} F. Fiedler,²⁴ F. Filthaut,³⁴ W. Fisher,⁶² H.E. Fisk,⁴⁷ M. Fortner,⁴⁹ H. Fox,⁴¹ S. Fuess,⁴⁷ T. Gadfort,⁷¹ A. Garcia-Bellido,⁶⁹ V. Gavrilov,³⁶ P. Gay,¹³ W. Geist,¹⁹ W. Geng,^{15,62} D. Gerbaudo,⁶⁶ C.E. Gerber,⁴⁸ Y. Gershtein,⁶⁵ D. Gillberg,⁶ G. Ginther,^{47,69} G. Golovanov,³⁵ A. Goussiou,⁸⁰ P.D. Grannis,⁷⁰ S. Greder,¹⁹ H. Greenlee,⁴⁷ Z.D. Greenwood,⁵⁷ E.M. Gregores,⁴ G. Grenier,²⁰ Ph. Gris,¹³ J.-F. Grivaz,¹⁶ A. Grohsjean,¹⁸ S. Grünendahl,⁴⁷ M.W. Grünewald,³⁰ F. Guo,⁷⁰ J. Guo,⁷⁰ G. Gutierrez,⁴⁷ P. Gutierrez,⁷³ A. Haas^c,⁶⁸ P. Haefner,²⁵ S. Hagopian,⁴⁶ J. Haley,⁶⁰ L. Han,⁷ K. Harder,⁴³ A. Harel,⁶⁹ J.M. Hauptman,⁵⁴ J. Hays,⁴² T. Hebbeker,²¹ D. Hedin,⁴⁹ A.P. Heinson,⁴⁵ U. Heintz,⁷⁵ C. Hensel,²³ I. Heredia-De La Cruz,³² K. Herner,⁶¹ G. Hesketh,⁶⁰ M.D. Hildreth,⁵³ R. Hirosky,⁷⁹ T. Hoang,⁴⁶ J.D. Hobbs,⁷⁰ B. Hoeneisen,¹² M. Hohlfield,²⁴ S. Hossain,⁷³ Y. Hu,⁷⁰ Z. Hubacek,¹⁰ N. Huske,¹⁷ V. Hynek,¹⁰ I. Iashvili,⁶⁷ R. Illingworth,⁴⁷ A.S. Ito,⁴⁷ S. Jabeen,⁷⁵ M. Jaffré,¹⁶ S. Jain,⁶⁷ D. Jamin,¹⁵ R. Jesik,⁴² K. Johns,⁴⁴ M. Johnson,⁴⁷ D. Johnston,⁶⁴ A. Jonckheere,⁴⁷ P. Jonsson,⁴² J. Joshi,²⁷ A. Juste^d,⁴⁷ K. Kaadze,⁵⁶ E. Kajfasz,¹⁵ D. Karmanov,³⁷ P.A. Kasper,⁴⁷ I. Katsanos,⁶⁴ R. Kehoe,⁷⁷ S. Kermiche,¹⁵ N. Khalatyan,⁴⁷ A. Khanov,⁷⁴ A. Kharchilava,⁶⁷ Y.N. Kharzheev,³⁵ D. Khatidze,⁷⁵ M.H. Kirby,⁵⁰ M. Kirsch,²¹ J.M. Kohli,²⁷ A.V. Kozelov,³⁸ J. Kraus,⁶² A. Kumar,⁶⁷ A. Kupco,¹¹ T. Kurča,²⁰ V.A. Kuzmin,³⁷ J. Kvita,⁹ S. Lammers,⁵¹ G. Landsberg,⁷⁵ P. Lebrun,²⁰ H.S. Lee,³¹ W.M. Lee,⁴⁷ J. Lellouch,¹⁷ L. Li,⁴⁵ Q.Z. Li,⁴⁷ S.M. Lietti,⁵ J.K. Lim,³¹ D. Lincoln,⁴⁷ J. Linnemann,⁶² V.V. Lipaev,³⁸ R. Lipton,⁴⁷ Y. Liu,⁷ Z. Liu,⁶ A. Lobodenko,³⁹ M. Lokajicek,¹¹ P. Love,⁴¹ H.J. Lubatti,⁸⁰ R. Luna-Garcia^e,³² A.L. Lyon,⁴⁷ A.K.A. Maciel,² D. Mackin,⁷⁸ R. Madar,¹⁸ R. Magaña-Villalba,³² S. Malik,⁶⁴ V.L. Malyshev,³⁵ Y. Maravin,⁵⁶ J. Martínez-Ortega,³² R. McCarthy,⁷⁰ C.L. McGivern,⁵⁵ M.M. Meijer,³⁴ A. Melnitchouk,⁶³ D. Menezes,⁴⁹ P.G. Mercadante,⁴ M. Merkin,³⁷ A. Meyer,²¹ J. Meyer,²³ N.K. Mondal,²⁹ T. Moulik,⁵⁵ G.S. Muanza,¹⁵ M. Mulhearn,⁷⁹ E. Nagy,¹⁵ M. Naimuddin,²⁸ M. Narain,⁷⁵ R. Nayyar,²⁸ H.A. Neal,⁶¹ J.P. Negret,⁸ P. Neustroev,³⁹ H. Nilsen,²² S.F. Novaes,⁵ T. Nunnemann,²⁵ G. Obrant,³⁹ D. Onoprienko,⁵⁶ J. Orduna,³² N. Osman,⁴² J. Osta,⁵³ G.J. Otero y Garzón,¹ M. Owen,⁴³ M. Padilla,⁴⁵ M. Pangilinan,⁷⁵ N. Parashar,⁵² V. Parihar,⁷⁵ S.K. Park,³¹ J. Parsons,⁶⁸ R. Partridge^c,⁷⁵ N. Parua,⁵¹ A. Patwa,⁷¹ B. Penning,⁴⁷ M. Perfilov,³⁷ K. Peters,⁴³ Y. Peters,⁴³ G. Petrillo,⁶⁹ P. Pétróff,¹⁶ R. Piegaia,¹ J. Piper,⁶² M.-A. Pleier,⁷¹ P.L.M. Podesta-Lerma^f,³² V.M. Podstavkov,⁴⁷ M.-E. Pol,² P. Polozov,³⁶ A.V. Popov,³⁸ M. Prewitt,⁷⁸ D. Price,⁵¹ S. Protopopescu,⁷¹ J. Qian,⁶¹ A. Quadt,²³ B. Quinn,⁶³ M.S. Rangel,¹⁶ K. Ranjan,²⁸ P.N. Ratoff,⁴¹ I. Razumov,³⁸ P. Renkel,⁷⁷ P. Rich,⁴³ M. Rijssenbeek,⁷⁰ I. Ripp-Baudot,¹⁹ F. Rizatdinova,⁷⁴ M. Rominsky,⁴⁷ C. Royon,¹⁸ P. Rubinov,⁴⁷ R. Ruchti,⁵³ G. Safronov,³⁶ G. Sajot,¹⁴ A. Sánchez-Hernández,³² M.P. Sanders,²⁵ B. Sanghi,⁴⁷ A.S. Santos,⁵ G. Savage,⁴⁷ L. Sawyer,⁵⁷ T. Scanlon,⁴² D. Schaile,²⁵ R.D. Schamberger,⁷⁰ Y. Scheglov,³⁹

H. Schellman,⁵⁰ T. Schliephake,²⁶ S. Schlobohm,⁸⁰ C. Schwanenberger,⁴³ R. Schwienhorst,⁶² J. Sekaric,⁵⁵
H. Severini,⁷³ E. Shabalina,²³ V. Shary,¹⁸ A.A. Shchukin,³⁸ R.K. Shivpuri,²⁸ V. Simak,¹⁰ V. Sirotenko,⁴⁷
P. Skubic,⁷³ P. Slatery,⁶⁹ D. Smirnov,⁵³ G.R. Snow,⁶⁴ J. Snow,⁷² S. Snyder,⁷¹ S. Söldner-Rembold,⁴³
L. Sonnenschein,²¹ A. Sopczak,⁴¹ M. Sosebee,⁷⁶ K. Soustruznik,⁹ B. Spurlock,⁷⁶ J. Stark,¹⁴ V. Stolin,³⁶
D.A. Stoyanova,³⁸ E. Strauss,⁷⁰ M. Strauss,⁷³ R. Ströhmer,²⁵ D. Strom,⁴⁸ L. Stutte,⁴⁷ P. Svoisky,³⁴ M. Takahashi,⁴³
A. Tanasijczuk,¹ W. Taylor,⁶ B. Tiller,²⁵ M. Titov,¹⁸ V.V. Tokmenin,³⁵ D. Tsybychev,⁷⁰ B. Tuchming,¹⁸ C. Tully,⁶⁶
P.M. Tuts,⁶⁸ R. Unalan,⁶² L. Uvarov,³⁹ S. Uvarov,³⁹ S. Uzunyan,⁴⁹ R. Van Kooten,⁵¹ W.M. van Leeuwen,³³
N. Varelas,⁴⁸ E.W. Varnes,⁴⁴ I.A. Vasilyev,³⁸ P. Verdier,²⁰ L.S. Vertogradov,³⁵ M. Verzocchi,⁴⁷ M. Vesterinen,⁴³
D. Vilanova,¹⁸ P. Vint,⁴² P. Vokac,¹⁰ H.D. Wahl,⁴⁶ M.H.L.S. Wang,⁶⁹ J. Warchol,⁵³ G. Watts,⁸⁰ M. Wayne,⁵³
G. Weber,²⁴ M. Weber,⁴⁷ M. Wetstein,⁵⁸ A. White,⁷⁶ D. Wicke,²⁴ M.R.J. Williams,⁴¹ G.W. Wilson,⁵⁵
S.J. Wimpenny,⁴⁵ M. Wobisch,⁵⁷ D.R. Wood,⁶⁰ T.R. Wyatt,⁴³ Y. Xie,⁴⁷ C. Xu,⁶¹ S. Yacoub,⁵⁰ R. Yamada,⁴⁷
W.-C. Yang,⁴³ T. Yasuda,⁴⁷ Y.A. Yatsunenko,³⁵ Z. Ye,⁴⁷ H. Yin,⁷ K. Yip,⁷¹ H.D. Yoo,⁷⁵ S.W. Youn,⁴⁷
J. Yu,⁷⁶ S. Zelitch,⁷⁹ T. Zhao,⁸⁰ B. Zhou,⁶¹ J. Zhu,⁷⁰ M. Zielinski,⁶⁹ D. Zieminska,⁵¹ and L. Zivkovic⁶⁸

(The D0 Collaboration*)

- ¹Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina
²LAFEX, Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
³Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
⁴Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo André, Brazil
⁵Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil
⁶Simon Fraser University, Vancouver, British Columbia, and York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
⁷University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, People's Republic of China
⁸Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia
⁹Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,
Center for Particle Physics, Prague, Czech Republic
¹⁰Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic
¹¹Center for Particle Physics, Institute of Physics,
Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic
¹²Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador
¹³LPC, Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont, France
¹⁴LPSC, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble 1, CNRS/IN2P3,
Institut National Polytechnique de Grenoble, Grenoble, France
¹⁵CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France
¹⁶LAL, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France
¹⁷LPNHE, Universités Paris VI and VII, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France
¹⁸CEA, Irfu, SPP, Saclay, France
¹⁹IPHC, Université de Strasbourg, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France
²⁰IPNL, Université Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France and Université de Lyon, Lyon, France
²¹III. Physikalisches Institut A, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany
²²Physikalisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
²³II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany
²⁴Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany
²⁵Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany
²⁶Fachbereich Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany
²⁷Panjab University, Chandigarh, India
²⁸Delhi University, Delhi, India
²⁹Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India
³⁰University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
³¹Korea Detector Laboratory, Korea University, Seoul, Korea
³²CINVESTAV, Mexico City, Mexico
³³FOM-Institute NIKHEF and University of Amsterdam/NIKHEF, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
³⁴Radboud University Nijmegen/NIKHEF, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
³⁵Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
³⁶Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
³⁷Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
³⁸Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia
³⁹Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia
⁴⁰Stockholm University, Stockholm and Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
⁴¹Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YB, United Kingdom
⁴²Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom
⁴³The University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

- ⁴⁴University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA
⁴⁵University of California Riverside, Riverside, California 92521, USA
⁴⁶Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA
⁴⁷Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, USA
⁴⁸University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607, USA
⁴⁹Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 60115, USA
⁵⁰Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA
⁵¹Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA
⁵²Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, Indiana 46323, USA
⁵³University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA
⁵⁴Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA
⁵⁵University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045, USA
⁵⁶Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506, USA
⁵⁷Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, Louisiana 71272, USA
⁵⁸University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA
⁵⁹Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA
⁶⁰Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA
⁶¹University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA
⁶²Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA
⁶³University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA
⁶⁴University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588, USA
⁶⁵Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08855, USA
⁶⁶Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA
⁶⁷State University of New York, Buffalo, New York 14260, USA
⁶⁸Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA
⁶⁹University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA
⁷⁰State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA
⁷¹Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA
⁷²Langston University, Langston, Oklahoma 73050, USA
⁷³University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019, USA
⁷⁴Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078, USA
⁷⁵Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA
⁷⁶University of Texas, Arlington, Texas 76019, USA
⁷⁷Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas 75275, USA
⁷⁸Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA
⁷⁹University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901, USA
⁸⁰University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

(Dated: June 16, 2010)

We present the results of a search for the flavor changing neutral current decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ using 6.1 fb^{-1} of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96 \text{ TeV}$ collected by the D0 experiment at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider. The observed number of B_s^0 candidates is consistent with background expectations. The resulting upper limit on the branching fraction is $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) < 5.1 \times 10^{-8}$ at the 95% C.L. This limit is a factor of 2.4 better than that of the previous D0 analysis and the best limit to date.

PACS numbers: 12.15.Mn, 13.20.He, 14.40.Nd

The standard model (SM) provides an accurate description of current observations in high energy physics experiments, in particular precision electroweak measurements and flavor physics observables. A flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) process is an apparent transition between quarks of different flavor but equal charge.

In the SM, the FCNC processes are forbidden at first order. They can occur at second order only through Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani (GIM) [1] suppressed box and penguin diagrams. The decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ [2] is an example of such a process, as shown in Fig. 1. Unlike other FCNC decays this decay rate is further suppressed by helicity factors in the $\mu^+ \mu^-$ final state [3]. The SM expectation for the branching fraction of this decay is $(3.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-9}$ [4]. The decay amplitude for $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ can be enhanced by several orders of magnitude in some extensions of the SM. For example, in some supersymmetric models such as the minimal supersymmetric standard model this decay can occur through the mediation of superpartners of the SM intermediate par-

*with visitors from ^aAugustana College, Sioux Falls, SD, USA, ^bThe University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK, ^cSLAC, Menlo Park, CA, USA, ^dICREA/IFAE, Barcelona, Spain, ^eCentro de Investigacion en Computacion - IPN, Mexico City, Mexico, ^fECFM, Universidad Autonoma de Sinaloa, Culiacán, Mexico, and ^gUniversität Bern, Bern, Switzerland.




FIG. 1: Examples of Feynman diagrams for FCNC decays: (a) box diagram, (b) penguin diagram.

ticles as well as particles from the extended Higgs sector. This extended contribution becomes larger if the value of $\tan\beta$, the ratio of the vacuum expectation values of the two neutral Higgs fields, is large [5–10]. Similarly, in some supersymmetric models with R -parity violating couplings [11], this enhancement can be present even in the regime of low $\tan\beta$. Improved limits on the branching fraction of this decay can be used to set limits on the parameter space of supersymmetric models and other new theories. Since the predicted rate for this process in the SM is beyond the current experimental sensitivity at the Tevatron, the observation of this decay would necessarily imply physics beyond the SM. Similar annihilation topologies have also been studied for B^0 and B^+ decays [12–16].

In this Letter, we report on a search for the rare decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ using 6.1 fb^{-1} of integrated luminosity collected by the D0 detector. Presently, the best experimental bound for the branching fraction of $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) < 5.8 \times 10^{-8}$ at the 95% C.L. is given by the CDF Collaboration [16]. Our previous result for this search was based on 1.3 fb^{-1} of integrated luminosity and set a bound for the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) < 1.2 \times 10^{-7}$ at the 95% C.L. [17].

The D0 detector [18] has a central tracking system, consisting of a silicon microstrip tracker (SMT) [19] and a central fiber tracker (CFT), both located within a 2 T superconducting solenoidal magnet, with designs optimized for tracking and vertexing at pseudorapidities $|\eta| \lesssim 3$ and $|\eta| \lesssim 2.5$, respectively, where $\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$, and θ is the polar angle with respect to the proton beam direction. An outer muon system, covering $|\eta| \lesssim 2$, consists of a layer of tracking detectors and scintillation trigger

counters in front of 1.8 T toroids, followed by two similar layers after the toroids [20]. The trigger and data acquisition systems are designed to accommodate the high instantaneous luminosity of the Tevatron Run II that started in 2001. In summer 2006, the SMT detector was upgraded by inserting an additional layer of silicon microstrip detectors, Layer 0 [21], close to the beampipe. The data-taking period before the Layer 0 installation is referred to as Run IIa, and the period afterwards is referred to as Run IIb. The two data sets are analyzed separately.

All data collected up to June 2009 are included in this analysis. The integrated luminosities for the Run IIa and Run IIb data sets are 1.3 fb^{-1} and 4.8 fb^{-1} , respectively. Events are recorded using a set of single muon triggers, dimuon triggers, and triggers that select $p\bar{p}$ interactions based on energy depositions in the calorimeter. $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ candidates are formed from pairs of oppositely charged muons identified by extrapolating tracks reconstructed in the central tracking detectors to the muon detectors, and matching them with information from the muon system. The muon selection has been updated with respect to the previous analysis [17], yielding 10% higher acceptance while keeping the fraction of misidentified muons below 0.5%. Each muon is required to have a transverse momentum $p_T^\mu \geq 1.5 \text{ GeV}$, and to have hits in at least two layers of both the CFT and the SMT. The B_s^0 candidate is required to have a reconstructed three-dimensional (3D) decay vertex displaced from the interaction point with a transverse decay length significance $L_T/\sigma_{L_T} \geq 3$ to reduce prompt dimuon background, where $L_T = \vec{l}_T \cdot \vec{p}_T^B/|\vec{p}_T^B|$. The vectors \vec{l}_T and \vec{p}_T^B are, respectively, the vector from the interaction point to the decay point and the transverse momentum vector of the B_s^0 meson in the transverse plane. The $p\bar{p}$ interaction vertex is found for each event using a beam-spot constrained fit as described in [22]. Events are selected if the reconstructed invariant dimuon mass, $m_{\mu\mu}$, is between 4.0 GeV and 7.0 GeV.

To further suppress the background we use the following discriminating variables: the transverse momentum of the B_s^0 candidate p_T^B , the pointing angle, L_T/σ_{L_T} , the decay vertex fit χ^2 , the smaller impact parameter significance (δ/σ_δ) of the two muons, $\min(\delta/\sigma_\delta)$, and the smaller p_T^μ of the two muons, $\min(p_T^\mu)$. The pointing angle is defined to be the 3D opening angle between the B_s^0 meson momentum vector and the displacement vector from the interaction to the dimuon vertex. The impact parameter δ is defined to be the distance of closest approach of the track to the interaction point in the transverse plane, and σ_δ is its uncertainty. We use a Bayesian Neural Network (BNN) [23, 24] multivariate classifier with the above variables to distinguish signal events from background. The BNN is trained using background events sampled from the sideband regions ($4.5 \text{ GeV} \leq m_{\mu\mu} \leq 5.0 \text{ GeV}$ and $5.8 \text{ GeV} \leq$




FIG. 2: Distributions of β : (a) $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ signal and sideband events, (b) $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^+$ data and simulation.

$m_{\mu\mu} \leq 6.5$ GeV) and simulated signal events. To simulate the B_s^0 signal, we generate Monte Carlo events using the PYTHIA [25] event generator, interfaced with the EVTGEN [26] decay package. We simulate the detector response using GEANT [27]. Multiple interactions are modeled by overlaying randomly triggered data events on top of the simulated hits in the detector. The distributions of the BNN output β for the B_s^0 signal and the sideband events as well as the $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^+$ control sample are shown in Fig. 2. We define the $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ signal region to be $0.9 \leq \beta \leq 1.0$ and $5.0 \text{ GeV} \leq m_{\mu\mu} \leq 5.8 \text{ GeV}$ where there is a clear separation between signal and background. This region is determined by optimizing the expected sensitivity of the search. We prepare two-dimensional (2D) histograms of $m_{\mu\mu}$ vs. β dividing the signal region into several bins to improve the sensitivity relative to using a single bin.

The dominant source of background dimuon events is from decays of heavy flavor hadrons in $b\bar{b}$ or $c\bar{c}$ production. To study this background contribution, we generate inclusive dimuon Monte Carlo samples with PYTHIA generic QCD processes that include all $b\bar{b}$ or $c\bar{c}$ production processes. The dimuon background events can be categorized by two types: (i) $B(D) \rightarrow \mu^+\nu X, \bar{B}(\bar{D}) \rightarrow \mu^-\bar{\nu}X'$ double semileptonic decays where the two muons originate from different $b(c)$ quarks, yielding dimuon masses distributed over the entire signal region, and (ii) $B \rightarrow \mu^+\nu\bar{D}, \bar{B} \rightarrow \mu^-\bar{\nu}X$ sequential semileptonic decays, resulting in $m_{\mu\mu}$ predominantly below the B hadron mass. The simulated dimuon mass distributions for both background sources after requiring $\beta \geq 0.8$ are

parametrized using an exponential function to estimate the number of background events in the signal region after fitting the dimuon mass in the data sideband regions, $4.0 \text{ GeV} \leq m_{\mu\mu} \leq 5.0 \text{ GeV}$ and $6.0 \text{ GeV} \leq m_{\mu\mu} \leq 7.0 \text{ GeV}$, in each β bin. The uncertainty on this background estimate is dominated by the statistical uncertainty of the sideband sample (10–35%). In addition, we consider background contributions from B^0 and B_s^0 decays $B \rightarrow h^+h'^-$, where h^+ and h'^- represent a charged kaon or pion. The muon identification efficiency and the fractions of pions and kaons misidentified as muons are evaluated using samples of $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ in $B \rightarrow \mu\nu D^0$ decays. $B_s^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$ decay is the largest contribution in the $B \rightarrow h^+h'^-$ backgrounds and that is expected to be 0.13 ± 0.10 events for Run IIa and 0.36 ± 0.27 events for Run IIb in the signal region, where the uncertainty is dominated by the statistical uncertainty on the fraction of misidentification. The $B \rightarrow h^+h'^-$ background contribution is thus found to be negligible (see below).

The branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ is computed by normalizing the number of events, $N(B_s^0)$, to the number of reconstructed $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^+$ events, $N(B^+)$:

$$\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = \frac{N(B_s^0)}{N(B^+)} \cdot \frac{\epsilon_{B^+}}{\epsilon_{B_s^0}} \cdot \frac{f_u}{f_s} \cdot \mathcal{B}(B^+), \quad (1)$$

where the parameters ϵ_{B^+} and $\epsilon_{B_s^0}$ are the reconstruction efficiencies for $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^+$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, respectively. They are estimated from simulations. We use $\mathcal{B}(B^+) = \mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+) \times \mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.97 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-5}$ [28] and the ratio of B -hadron production fractions $f_u/f_s = 3.86 \pm 0.59$ [29]. The simulated mass resolution of the D0 detector for the $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ is ≈ 120 MeV and is therefore insufficient to readily separate B_s^0 from B^0 leptonic decays. In this analysis, we assume that there are no contributions from $B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decays, since this decay is suppressed by $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|^2 \approx 0.04$ [30, 31].

A sample of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^+$ events is selected using all but the β selection requirements, with an additional requirement of $p_T^K \geq 1$ GeV for the kaon candidate. By performing a binned likelihood fit with the $J/\psi K^+$ invariant mass distribution in data, we observe $N(B^+) = 14340 \pm 665$ events for Run IIa and 32463 ± 875 events for Run IIb, where the uncertainty is only statistical. The statistical significance of the B^+ signal yield in Run IIb is higher than that in Run IIa although the lower yield per the integrated luminosity. The $J/\psi K^+$ invariant mass distribution is shown in Fig. 3. A systematic uncertainty of 2% on the B^+ yield is found by varying the fit parametrization. The efficiency for the additional kaon track in $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^+$ decays is calibrated using the ratio of $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^{*0}(K^+\pi^-)$ to $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^+$ data with an uncertainty of 7.5%. The trigger efficiency depends on the muon transverse momentum p_T^μ . This is modeled by comparing the




FIG. 3: The $J/\psi K^+$ invariant mass distribution of B^+ candidates. The dashed line represents the B^+ signal distribution obtained from the fit (solid line).

p_T^μ distribution in the selected data events with a control sample requiring a p_T^μ independent trigger and then applying the ratio to the simulated events as a p_T^μ dependent weight factor. A possible dependence of this weight factor on the dimuon kinematics is evaluated by choosing another sample at higher dimuon masses; this effect is found to be less than 1%. The p_T^B spectra in the B_s^0 and B^+ simulations are corrected following comparisons of the $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^+$ in data and simulation. A similar correction is obtained from $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ decays, and the difference between the two is assigned as an uncertainty of 6.5%. The product of the factors multiplying $N(B_s^0)$ on the right-hand side of Eq. 1 is called the single event sensitivity. We find a single event sensitivity $(4.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-9}$ for Run IIa and $(1.84 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-9}$ for Run IIb in the signal region. Using the SM prediction of $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ [4], there are 0.74 ± 0.17 events in Run IIa and 1.95 ± 0.42 events in Run IIb expected in the signal region. Aside from the background uncertainty, the largest uncertainty of 15% common to Run IIa and Run IIb comes from the fragmentation ratio, f_u/f_s .

We compute the final sensitivity using 2D histograms of $m_{\mu\mu}$ vs. β of the signal and the backgrounds by combining the sensitivity of each bin taking into account the correlated uncertainties. In addition to the uncertainty on the signal normalization, we add uncertainties on the expected B_s^0 mass and its resolution in the calculation. Additional uncertainties on the dimuon background distributions are assigned to allow for possible variation in the background $m_{\mu\mu}$ distribution as a function of β . The resulting median expected limits are $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) < 8.5 \times 10^{-8} (6.8 \times 10^{-8})$ for Run IIa, and $4.6 \times 10^{-8} (3.7 \times 10^{-8})$ for Run IIb at the 95% (90%) C.L. and the combined median expected limit is $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) < 4.0 \times 10^{-8} (3.2 \times 10^{-8})$. The limits are calculated from Eq. 1 using the semi-Frequentist




FIG. 4: The distribution of $m_{\mu\mu}$ in the highest sensitivity β region (a), and the distribution of β in the highest sensitivity $m_{\mu\mu}$ region (b) for data (dots with uncertainties), expected background distribution (solid line), and the SM signal distribution multiplied by a factor of 100 (dotted-dashed line). The dimuon background contributions from the $B(D) \rightarrow \mu^+\nu X, \bar{B}(\bar{D}) \rightarrow \mu^-\bar{\nu}X'$ decays (dashed line) and the $B \rightarrow \mu^+\nu\bar{D}, \bar{B} \rightarrow \mu^-\bar{\nu}X$ decays (dotted line) are also shown.

confidence level approach (CL_s) [32–34] with a Poisson log-likelihood ratio test statistic. The limit incorporates Gaussian uncertainties on the signal efficiency and the background. This expected limit is a factor of 2.4 better than the expected limit of 9.7×10^{-8} at the 95% C.L. of the previous D0 result [17], where 10% of this improvement results from changes in the analysis technique.

After finalizing the selection criteria and all systematic uncertainties, we study events in the signal region. There are 256 events for Run IIa, and 823 events for Run IIb observed in the signal region where the expected number of background events is 264 ± 13 events for Run IIa and 827 ± 23 events for Run IIb. The observed distributions of dimuon events in the highest sensitivity region are shown in Fig. 4. The observed number of events is consistent with the background expectations. We extract 95% (90%) C.L. limits of $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) < 8.2 \times 10^{-8} (6.5 \times 10^{-8})$ for Run IIa and $6.5 \times 10^{-8} (5.3 \times 10^{-8})$ for Run IIb. The resulting combined limit is $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) < 5.1 \times 10^{-8} (4.2 \times 10^{-8})$ at the 95% (90%) C.L. The probability for the expected background distributions to fluctuate to the observed data distributions is 31%.

In conclusion, we have reported a search for the rare decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ using 6.1 fb^{-1} of $p\bar{p}$ collisions collected

by the D0 experiment at Fermilab Tevatron Collider. We observe no evidence for physics beyond the SM and set a limit of $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) < 5.1 \times 10^{-8} (4.2 \times 10^{-8})$ at the 95% (90%) C.L. This result is more stringent than the previous results [16, 17] and the best limit to date.

We thank the staffs at Fermilab and collaborating institutions, and acknowledge support from the DOE and NSF (USA); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); FASI, Rosatom and RFBR (Russia); CNPq, FAPERJ, FAPESP and FUNDUNESP (Brazil); DAE and DST (India); Colciencias (Colombia); CONACyT (Mexico); KRF and KOSEF (Korea); CONICET and UBACyT (Argentina); FOM (The Netherlands); STFC and the Royal Society (United Kingdom); MSMT and GACR (Czech Republic); CRC Program and NSERC (Canada); BMBF and DFG (Germany); SFI (Ireland); The Swedish Research Council (Sweden); and CAS and CNSF (China).

-
- [1] S. L. Glashow, J. Iliopoulos, and L. Maiani, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 1285 (1970).
 - [2] Charge conjugate states are assumed implicitly throughout this paper.
 - [3] G. Buchalla and A. J. Buras, Nucl. Phys. B **400**, 225 (1993).
 - [4] A. J. Buras, Prog. Theor. Phys. **122**, 145 (2009).
 - [5] S. R. Choudhury and N. Gaur, Phys. Lett. B **451**, 86 (1999).
 - [6] J. K. Parry, Nucl. Phys. B **760**, 38 (2007).
 - [7] J. K. Parry, arXiv:hep-ph/0606150 (2006).
 - [8] E. Lunghi, W. Porod, and O. Vives, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 075003 (2006).
 - [9] D. Guadagnoli, S. Raby and D. M. Straub, J. High Energy Phys. **0910**, 059 (2009).
 - [10] B. C. Allanach, G. Hiller, D. R. T. Jones and P. Slavich, J. High Energy Phys. **0904**, 088 (2009).
 - [11] R. L. Arnowitt, B. Dutta, T. Kamon, and M. Tanaka, Phys. Lett. B **538**, 121 (2002).
 - [12] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 011107 (2008).
 - [13] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 051101 (2010).
 - [14] Belle Collaboration, K. Ikado *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 251802 (2006).
 - [15] Belle Collaboration, I. Adachi *et al.*, arXiv:hep-ex/0809.3834 (2008).
 - [16] CDF Collaboration, T. Aaltonen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 101802 (2008).
 - [17] D0 Collaboration, V. M. Abazov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 092001 (2007).
 - [18] D0 Collaboration, V. M. Abazov *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods in Phys. Res. A **565**, 463 (2006).
 - [19] S. N. Ahmed *et al.*, arXiv:1005.0801 [physics.ins-det], Nucl. Instrum. Methods in Phys. Res. A, submitted for publication.
 - [20] V. M. Abazov *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods in Phys. Res. A **552**, 372 (2005).
 - [21] R. Angstadt *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods in Phys. Res. A **622**, 298 (2010), arXiv:0911.2522 [physics.ins-det].
 - [22] DELPHI Collaboration, J. Abdallah *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **32**, 185 (2004).
 - [23] R. M. Neal, *Bayesian Learning of Neural Networks* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996).
 - [24] P. C. Bhat and H. B. Prosper, “Bayesian Neural Networks” in *Statistical Problems in Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology*, ed. L. Lyons and M. K. Ünel, (Imperial College Press, London, 2006).
 - [25] T. Sjöstrand *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **135**, 238 (2001).
 - [26] D. J. Lange, Nucl. Nucl. Instrum. Methods in Phys. Res. A **462**, 152 (2001).
 - [27] R. Brun and F. Carminati, CERN Program Library Long Writeup W5013, 1993 (unpublished). We use version 3.15.
 - [28] C. Amsler *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B **667**, 1 (2008).
 - [29] W.-M. Yao *et al.*, Journal of Physics G **33**, 1 (2006). We use this version of the reference for the B hadron fragmentation ratio in order to compare the result with those of the previous analyses.
 - [30] N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 531 (1963).
 - [31] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **49**, 652 (1973).
 - [32] A.L. Read, J. Phys. G **28**, 2693 (2002).
 - [33] T. Junk, Nucl. Instrum. Methods in Phys. Res. A **434**, 435 (1999).
 - [34] W. Fisher, FERMILAB Report No. FERMILAB-TM-2386-E (2007).